arXiv:1608.00728v1 [math.NA] 2 Aug 2016

Quasi-interpolation on a sparse grid with Gaussian

Fuat Usta[1] and Jeremy Levesley[2]

[1] Department of Mathematics, Duzce University ,
Konuralp Campus, 81620, Duzce, Turkey,
fuatusta@duzce.edu.tr,
[2] Department of Mathematics, University of Leicester,
University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, United Kingdom,
j.levesley@le.ac.uk

Abstract. Motivated by the recent multilevel sparse kernel-based interpolation (MuSIK) algorithm proposed in [Georgoulis, Levesley and Subhan, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 35(2), pp. A815-A831, 2013], we introduce the new quasi-multilevel sparse interpolation with kernels (Q-MuSIK) via the combination technique. The Q-MuSIK scheme achieves better convergence and run time in comparison with classical quasi-interpolation; namely, the Q-MuSIK algorithm is generally superior to the MuSIK methods in terms of run time in particular in high-dimensional interpolation problems, since there is no need to solve large algebraic systems. We subsequently propose a fast, low complexity, high-dimensional quadrature formula based on Q-MuSIK interpolation of the integrand. We present the results of numerical experimentation for both interpolation and quadrature in high dimension.

1 Introduction

Over the last half century, numerical methods have obtained much attention, not only among mathematicians but also in the scientific and engineering communities.

High dimensions usually cause some problems for mathematical modelling on gridded data. The main problem is known as the curse of dimensionality, a term due to Bellmann [3]. There is a exponential relationship between the computational cost of approximation with a given error bound ϵ and the dimension d of the space $\mathbb{R}^d$ for any given problem. For this reason, classical approximation techniques are limited to low dimensions. For example, the complexity of solving an approximation problem on a gridded data over a bounded domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is $\mathcal{O}(N^d)$, where N is the dimension of the input data.

Radial Basis Function (RBF) interpolation is one of the tools which is effective in approximating and interpolating high-dimensional functions when the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 65F10, 65N55, 65N22, 65D32
Key Words : Quasi-interpolation, multilevel, sparse grids, hyperbolic crosses, quadrature, high dimension.

data is scattered in its domain. The use of RBFs has become increasingly popular as an approximation method since it obtains delicate and accurate consequences without using a mesh. This is true not only in approximation or interpolation of data sets [15] but also in solving partial differential equations; see [11], for example of applications of the RBF method.

Another powerful tool for multidimensional problems is quasi-interpolation, which is comprehensively used in scientific computations, mechanics and engineering, and from which a number of successful results have been gained [2,17,18]. A quasi-interpolation technique based on radial basis functions is discussed in the sequel. One of the advantages of quasi-interpolation is relevant to features of the generating functions themselves, such as smoothness, simplicity, good shape properties and their exponential decay behaviour at infinity. In addition to these, quasi-interpolation can yield a solution directly since there is no need to solve any large-scale system of equations. Therefore this method can approximate the function in a reduced computational time, even in high dimension, in comparison with other meshless techniques such as RBF interpolation. Quasi-interpolation has been successfully applied to scattered data approximation and interpolation, numerical solutions of partial differential equations and quadrature.

In the literature of the quasi-interpolation, some methods having convergence rates have been also discussed [12,13]. Although quasi-interpolation operators have principally used functions defined all over the real line, some applications require functions defined on a specific compact interval to allow for efficient approximation procedures, such as boundary integral equations and treatment of partial differential equations. Mller and Varnhorn [14] applied quasi-interpolation operators to such functions. In contrast to all-space functions, a truncation error has to be controlled for these kind of functions; in addition to these pointwise error estimates and L_1 error estimates have also to be given explicitly [14].

Another study of quasi-interpolation has been made by Chen and Cao [4]. In this study, the convergence analysis in the supremum norm has been presented by modifying the quasi-interpolation operator. Then, in [5], further investigation of quasi-interpolation has been made on the compact interval.

In order to cope with high dimensional problem, there are a number of remedies proposed in academia. The first of these is hyperbolic cross spaces, which were mentioned by Babenko [1] and Smolyak [16] in the structure of numerical integration in early 1960's. In these papers, the hyperbolic cross product was proposed in order to construct a quadrature rule for multidimensional functions via additional smoothness assumptions. Then, sparse grid methods were introduced by Zenger [19] in 1991 as a solution for PDEs. These techniques have also been used for approximation and interpolation. It can be seen that these methods are similar to hyperbolic cross product hypothesis. The *sparse grid method* arises from a sparse tensor product construction (hyperbolic cross product) and hierarchical basis. Additionally, sparse grid techniques have also been used as solutions of PDEs for finite difference and finite volume methods.

In 2012, Georgoulis, Levesley and Subhan [8] introduced a new kernel-based interpolation technique which circumvents both computational complication and

conditioning problems. They obtained more reliable and faster results in higher dimension RBF interpolation problems by means of this technique, which can be seen as an improved version of hyperbolic cross functions. The basic principle of this method is the use of anisotropic radial basis function interpolation. All in all, sparse interpolation with kernels, called SIK, allows for an enormous reduction in the amount of computing resources required to solve interpolation problems at a given level of accuracy.

In order to take the advantages of the SIK method a step further, we have introduced the quasi-sparse interpolation with kernels, called Q-SIK. The main motivation for the proposed method stems from the idea of the SIK method. The principal point of the proposed algorithm is to use anisotropic Gaussian interpolation for all directions associated with the sparse grid combination technique. The combination technique [9] is a sparse grid representation, where partial solutions are computed on a certain sequence of coarser grids; one then gets the solution by linearly combining all partial solutions. This is the main idea behind the proposed algorithm.

In order to obtain both accelerated convergence and more accurate consequences for the interpolation, multilevel techniques have been suggested by a number of researchers. The first to consider the multilevel approximation were Floater and Iske [7]. They combined a thinning algorithm and compactly supported radial basis function interpolation. Furthermore, multilevel interpolation techniques enable us to combine the benefits of stationary and non-stationary standard RBFs interpolation, such that this leads to an accelerated convergence. Other researchers have since contributed the multilevel interpolation literature [10].

The proposed scheme, Q-SIK, can be extended to a multilevel variant, quasi-multilevel sparse interpolation with kernels (Q-MuSIK). The Q-MuSIK technique is derived from the Q-SIK method. The main considerations behind the approach to multilevel techniques can be divided into two steps: the first is to interpolate the data sets at the coarsest level, and the second is to update the interpolation of the residuals on gradually finer data sets by means of properly scaled basis functions.

Principally, construction of a sparse grid in Q-SIK techniques is similar to multilevel methods. When we interpolate given data sets using the Q-MuSIK method, we need to use sparse grids which are nested, and from lower to higher levels, i.e., $\mathcal{S}_{n,d} \subset \mathcal{S}_{n+1,d}$ where d is dimension and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In addition to this, properly scaled anisotropic quasi-interpolation should be used for each level. All in all, it can be said that the Q-MuSIK method does not adversely affect the complexity features of SIK because of the geometric progression in the problem dimension in the nested-ness of sparse grids.

The new method of multilevel sparse kernel-based interpolation (MuSIK) was introduced by Georgoulis, Levesley and Subhan [8] in 2013. This method uses anisotropic radial basis function interpolation on partial grids and then linearly combines them with the combination technique (SIK method). In other words, SIK can be obtained by solving many sub-interpolation problems with properly

selected sub-grids and then linearly combining them. Then by combining SIK and multilevel algorithm, the new approach MuSIK has been obtained. In other words the MuSIK uses a residual interpolation at each levels. This technique provides us with a considerable advantage in the interpolation of huge amounts of data in multiple dimensions.

In this context, the MuSIK has been extended in [6] both theoretically and numerically. More detailed this study showed that SIK and accordingly MuSIK scheme are interpolatory for the special case of scaled Gaussian kernels. In addition to this a numerical integration algorithm is also proposed in [6], based on interpolating the (high-dimensional) integrand. A series of numerical examples have been presented, highlighting the practical applicability of the proposed algorithm for both interpolation and quadrature for up to 10-dimensional problems in this study.

For a detailed discussion on MuSIK technique, its theoretical explanation and numerical results we refer the reader to [6], and references therein.

2 Sparse quasi-interpolation with kernels

One of the most powerful aspects of quasi-interpolation is that it can be generalized easily to the multidimensional case because of its simple structure. In more detail, we have seen some basis functions which have a number of nice properties for quasi-interpolation on a uniform grid in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such as simplicity, smoothness and exponential decay behaviour at infinity. Thus, this kind of basis function makes multidimensional approximation possible with basic analytical representations.

Another advantage of quasi-interpolation is that there is no need to solve large algebraic systems. For example, for some approximation techniques, such as radial basis functions interpolation, one needs to solve large number of matrix systems to find the best approximation and require significant storage for univariate problems solutions. However, due to the nature of quasi-interpolation, we just need to know function value at specific points. Thus, this makes quasi-interpolation useful in practice not only for low dimensional approximations but also in multidimensional cases. Also integration using quasi interpolation methods provides us positive weights because of its nature.

Now, in order to provide more benefits from nice properties of quasi-interpolation, we will propose to apply this new technique to quasi-interpolation, which is called the quasi-sparse kernel-based interpolation (Q-SIK) method. Thus, we similarly expect to obtain results with lower computational cost, especially in the interpolation of huge amount of data in multiple dimensions.

Let $\Omega := [0,1]^d$, $d \geq 2$, and let $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$. In order to clarify sparse grid construction, we need to introduce some multi-index notation. Throughout this and next sections we will use $\mathbf{l} := (l_1, \ldots, l_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and $\mathbf{i} := (i_1, \ldots, i_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ as a spatial position. The key point here is that the multi-index $\mathbf{l}$ consists of non zero components. In other words, non-zero elements are an undesired condition for solving interpolation problems.

For the above multi-indices, the family of directionally uniform grids $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}$ on Ω can be described with mesh size $h_{\mathbf{l}}; = 2^{-\mathbf{l}} = (2^{-l_1}, \ldots, 2^{-l_d})$. That is, the family of grids $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}$ consists of the points

$$\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{l},\mathbf{i}} := (x_{l_1,i_1}, \ldots, x_{l_d,i_d}), \tag{1}$$

where $x_{l_p,i_p} := i_p \cdot h_{l_p} = i_p \cdot 2^{-l_p}$ and $i_p \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 2^{l_p}\}$. These grids may have different mesh sizes for each coordinate direction. In addition to this, one can calculate the number of nodes $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{l}}$ in $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}$ by using the formula

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{l}} := \prod_{p=1}^{d} (2^{l_p} + 1). \tag{2}$$

For instance, should one choose constant $\mathbf{l}$, which is equal to n for all $p = 1, \ldots, d$, the Cartesian full grid converts to a uniform full grid denoted by $\Upsilon^{n,d}$ with $\mathcal{P} = (2^n + 1)^d$, where n is the grid level. We also consider the following subset of $\Upsilon^{n,d}$,

$$\Upsilon_{n,d} := \bigcup_{|\mathbf{l}|_1 = n+d-1} \Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}} \tag{3}$$

with $|\mathbf{l}|_1 := l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_d$, which will be referred to as the sparse grid of level n in d dimensions. We refer to Figure 1 for a visual representation of (3) for $n = 4$ and $d = 2$. Notice that there is some redundancy in this definition as some grid points are included in more than one sub-grid.



Fig. 1: Sparse grid $\Upsilon_{4,2}$ via (3).

As can easily be seen, the sparse grid treatment itself already requires the use of anisotropic basis functions. For this reason, we will use anisotropic Gaussian functions for Q-SIK. Let $A_{\mathbf{l}} = \operatorname{diag}(2^{l_1}, 2^{l_2}, \cdots, 2^{l_d})$. Then define

$$\mu_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \exp(-\|A_{\mathbf{l}}\mathbf{x}\|^2),$$

and $\mu = \mu_I$, where I is the identity matrix.

In accordance with this purpose, we improve on this via a new Q-SIK interpolation formula on a sparse grid for a function of several variables. In accordance with this purpose, we solve a number of sub-anisotropic quasi-interpolation problems on well constructed subgrids and then obtain the quasi-SIK interpolant by combining the resultant sub-quasi interpolants linearly.

In a similar way, all the subgrids for each level can be constructed as per the previous section. That is, we have $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}$ with a mesh size $h_{\mathbf{l}}$. Then, in order to compute each subgrid quasi-interpolation problem, we need to construct the anisotropic subgrid quasi-interpolant

$$Q_{\mathbf{l}}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}\in\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}} u(\mathbf{z})\mu_{\mathbf{l}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}\right), \quad \mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4}$$

Then we obtain quasi-SIK (Q-SIK) approximation by the combination technique:

$$Q_{n,d}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{q=0}^{d-1}(-1)^q\binom{d-1}{q}\sum_{|\mathbf{l}|_1=n+(d-1)-q} Q_{\mathbf{l}}u(\mathbf{x}). \tag{5}$$

For example, in 2-D the Q-SIK approximation is

$$Q_{n,2}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{l}|_1=n+1} Q_{\mathbf{l}}u(\mathbf{x}) - \sum_{|\mathbf{l}|_1=n} Q_{\mathbf{l}}u(\mathbf{x}). \tag{6}$$

The key idea here is that we view the sparse grid in Figure 1 as an algebraic sum



Fig. 2: The construction of $\Upsilon_{4,2}$ as an algebraic sum.

of grids, as in Figure 2. We can summarize the algorithm of sparse kernel-based interpolation as follows.

Algorithm 1 Sparse quasi-interpolation with kernels

Require: Sparse grid data $\{(\mathbf{x}_i, u_i), u_i = u(\mathbf{x}_i), i = 1, \cdots N\}$

1: Create the subgrids for each level $\mathbf{l}\in\mathbb{N}^d$, $|\mathbf{l}|_1 = n, \ldots, n+(d-1)$ and $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}$ with mesh size $h_{\mathbf{l}}$.
2: Compute the anisotropic subgrid quasi-interpolation problems $Q_{\mathbf{l}}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}\in\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}} u(\mathbf{z})\mu_{\mathbf{l}}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}\right)$.
3: Combine the all subgrid quasi-interpolation problems obtained above $Q_{n,d}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{q=0}^{d-1}(-1)^q\binom{d-1}{q}\sum_{|\mathbf{l}|_1=n+(d-1)-q} Q_{\mathbf{l}}u(\mathbf{x})$.
4: **return** The Q-SIK approximation $Q_{n,d}u(\mathbf{x})$

As can be seen from the above explanations, the Q-SIK method is very amenable to parallel computation since each sub-interpolation problem can be solved independently. Therefore this method can be applied in a computational cluster or distributed across workstations. Thus, the Q-SIK method provides us with computationally cheap approximation, especially for multidimensional problems.

3 Multilevel sparse quasi-interpolation with kernels

As will be seen below (and is observed in SIK), Q-SIK does not converge, even for the function $u \equiv 1$. In the case of the infinite grid in one dimension, this can be seen easily. For a $1/n$ spaced grid the quasi-interpolant is

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(nx - l).$$

This function is $1/n$-periodic and even and so has a Fourier series

$$Q_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \cos(2\pi knx),$$

where, for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= n \int_0^{1/n} Q_n(x) \cos(2\pi knx) dx \\ &= n \int_0^{1/n} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(nx - l) \right) \cos(2\pi knx) dx \\ &= \int_0^{1} \left(\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(y - l) \right) \cos(2\pi ky) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(y) \cos(2\pi ky) \\ &= 2 \exp(-2\pi^2 k^2), \end{aligned}$$

the Fourier coefficient of the normal distribution. Additionally $a_0 = 1$. Hence, for any n

$$Q_n(0) = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \exp(-2\pi^2 k^2),$$

so that there is no convergence as $n \to \infty$.

Thus we adopt a multilevel refinement strategy, which we call Q-MuSIK. The only difference between MuSIK and Q-MuSIK is that we will use the Q-SIK method instead of the SIK method for each subgrid approximation problem.



(a) $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$ (b) $\mathcal{S}_{2,2}$

(c) $\mathcal{S}_{3,2}$ (d) $\mathcal{S}_{4,2}$

(e) $\mathcal{S}_{5,2}$ (f) $\mathcal{S}_{6,2}$

(g) $\mathcal{S}_{7,2}$ (h) $\mathcal{S}_{8,2}$

Fig. 3: Sparse grid decomposition for levels 1 to 8 in 2 dimensions.

In contrast to the single level quasi-sparse interpolation with kernels method discussed in the previous chapter, we will now use the nested sparse grids which sort from the lower level to the higher level. In other words, sparse grids with increasingly greater data densities provide us with a hierarchical decomposition of the sparse grid data,

$$\Upsilon_{i,d} \subset \Upsilon_{i+1,d}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots .$$

The hierarchical decomposition in two dimensions can be seen in Figure 3.

After obtaining the sparse grid decomposition, the sparse grid interpolation $Q_{n_0,d}$ needs to be evaluated for level 1. In other words, the coarsest level approximation is $S_{0,d}u = Q_{n_0,d}u$. The first level approximation Then Δ_j needs to be calculated for each level using Q-SIK on the residual $\Delta_j = Q_{n_0+j,d}(u - S_{j-1,d}u)$

on $\Upsilon_{n_0+j,d}$, and $S_{j,d}u = S_{j-1,d}u + \Delta_j$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$. This multilevel iterative refinement algorithm is called Q-MuSIK.

Algorithm 2 Quasi multilevel sparse interpolation with kernels

Require: Sparse grid data decomposition and function u

1: Initialize the first interpolation value at zero, that is $S_{0,d}u = Q_{n_0,d}u$.
2: Construct the nested sparse grids as $\Upsilon_{n_0,d} \subset \Upsilon_{n_0+1,d} \subset \cdots \subset \Upsilon_{n_0+n,d}$.
3: For every value of $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$,

- Solve $\Delta_j = Q_{n_0+j,d}(u - S_{j-1,d}u)$ on $\Upsilon_{n_0+j,d}$
- Update $S_{j,d}u = S_{j-1,d}u + \Delta_j$

4: **return** $S_{n,d}$

4 Q-MuSIK Quadrature

Now, we introduce a new quadrature formula by integrating the Q-MuSIK approximation over the unit cube:

$$I_{\mathbf{l}}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}\in\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}} u(\mathbf{z}) \int_{[0,1]^d} \mu_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z})\, d\mathbf{x}.$$

In the case when the Gaussians are tensor products,namely, $\mu_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z}) = \mu_{l_1}(x_1 - z_{l_1}) \times \mu_{l_2}(x_2 - z_{l_2}) \times \cdots \times \mu_{l_d}(x_d - z_{l_d})$, we can straightforwardly compute the weights as follows:

$$\int_{[0,1]^d} \mu_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{z})\, d\mathbf{x} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \int_0^1 \mu_{l_i}(x_i - z_{l_i}) dx_i$$

The integral of a univariate Gaussian is of the form

$$\phi_l(z_l) = \int_0^1 \mu_l(x - z_l)dx = \int_0^1 (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-(A_l(x - z_l))^2)dx,$$

for some A_l, $m_l \in \mathbb{R}$, which, upon the change of variables $\xi = A_l(x - z_l)$, gives

$$\phi_l(z_l) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-A_l z_l}^{A_l(1-z_l)} \exp(-\xi^2)d\xi = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}[\mathrm{erf}(A_l(1 - z_l)) - \mathrm{erf}(-A_l z_l)],$$

with the error function erf defined by

$$\mathrm{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt. \tag{7}$$

Here the error function can be computed to arbitrary precision.

In a similar way, all the subgrids for each level can be constructed in a similar manner to the method discussed in previous sections. In other words, we will use the grid set $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}$ with mesh size $h_{\mathbf{l}}$ constructed via sparse grids. In order to then compute each subgrid quadrature problem, we need to use the anisotropic quasi-quadrature technique, which uses sparse grids. In detail, by using the quadrature construction from the previous section, the anisotropic quasi-quadrature formula of u at the grids $\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}$ can be defined by

$$I_{\mathbf{l}}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{z}\in\Upsilon_{\mathbf{l}}} u(\mathbf{z}) \prod_{i=1}^{d} \phi_{l_i}(z_{l_i}).$$

where

$$\phi_{l_i}(z_{l_i}) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}[\mathrm{erf}(A_{l_i}(1 - z_{l_i})) - \mathrm{erf}(-A_{l_i} z_{l_i})]$$

We then obtain the Q-SIK quadrature formula by combining all sub-quasi-quadrature problems with the combination technique, that is, the Q-SIK quadrature is defined by

$$I_{n,d}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{q=0}^{d-1} (-1)^q \binom{d-1}{q} \sum_{|\mathbf{l}|_1 = n+(d-1)-q} I_{\mathbf{l}}u(\mathbf{x}).$$

For example, in 3-D the quasi-SKI is given by

$$I_{n,3}u(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{l}|_1 = n+2} I_l u(\mathbf{x}) - 2 \sum_{|\mathbf{l}|_1 = n+1} I_l u(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{|\mathbf{l}|_1 = n} I_l u(\mathbf{x}). \tag{8}$$

5 Numerical Experiments

In this section, we present a collection of numerical experiments for approximation for $d = 2, 3, 4$, where the implementation of Q-SIK and Q-MuSIK algorithms is assessed and compared against both the standard quasi interpolation on uniform full grids and its standard multilevel version (ML Quasi Interpolation). It is worth remarking that in higher dimensions it becomes harder to compute approximation errors. Thus, for higher dimension we move to assessing the errors in quadrature, which is the subject of the final examples, where we consider $d = 4, 5, 10$. We compare Q-MuSIK with MuSIK for these examples.

5.1 2-*D* Example

The Q-SIK and Q-MuSIK algorithm with Gaussian basis functions is applied to the test function $P^{2d}(\mathbf{x}) : [0, 1]^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, with

$$P^{2d}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1.25 + \cos(5.4x_2)}{6 + 6(3x_1 - 1)^2} \tag{9}$$

SGs	NoVs	Q-SIK		Q-MuSIK	
		Max Error	Rms Error	Max Error	Rms Error
9	**9**	**1.48e-1**	**4.63e-2**	**1.48e-1**	**4.63e-2**
21	**39**	**8.60e-2**	**2.08e-2**	**4.37e-2**	**1.43e-2**
49	**109**	**4.92e-2**	**9.56e-3**	**1.61e-2**	**4.28e-3**
113	**271**	**3.32e-2**	**6.26e-3**	**7.66e-3**	**1.31e-3**
257	**641**	**2.75e-2**	**5.60e-3**	**3.26e-3**	**4.09e-4**
577	**1475**	**2.63e-2**	**5.48e-3**	**1.33e-3**	**1.27e-4**
1281	**3333**	**2.59e-2**	**5.45e-3**	**5.57e-4**	**3.73e-5**
2817	**7431**	**2.66e-2**	**5.43e-3**	**1.77e-4**	**1.01e-5**
6145	**16393**	**2.61e-2**	**5.42e-3**	**4.77e-5**	**2.88e-6**

Table 1: Q-SIK and Q-MuSIK results using Gaussian basis functions with shape parameter $d = 0.4$, test function $P^{2d}(\mathbf{x})$, on an equally spaced 160×160 evaluation grid in 2 dimension.



Fig. 4: RMS error versus N(Quasi-interpolation, Multilevel Quasi interpolation) or SG (Q-SIK, Q-MuSIK) nodes using Gaussian basis functions with $\rho = 0.4$ Quasi-interpolation (black), Q-SIK (green), Multilevel Quasi-interpolation (blue) and Q-MuSIK (red) on a 160×160 uniform grid in 2 dimension.

Here SGs stands for the number of sparse grid centers used, NoVs represents the total number of nodes visited in the Q-SIK and Q-MuSIK, Maxerror and RMS-error are the maximum norm and root-mean-square (L^2-norm) errors, respectively, evaluated on a 160×160 uniform grid. According to the results



Fig. 5: RMS error versus computational time using Gaussian basis functions with standard deviation 0.4, MuSIK (blue) and Q-MuSIK (red) on a 160×160 uniform grid in 2 dimension.

of Table 1 we can see that, as expected, Q-SIK does not converge. In other words, the error of Q-SIK method remain stable after a few interpolation levels. However we see in the same table that Q-MuSIK converges.

In Figure 4 we present the comparison four interpolation results which are quasi interpolation, multilevel quasi interpolation, Q-SIK and Q-MuSIK. Obviously, quasi interpolation and Q-SIK do not converge to the target functions. However their multilevel versions shows good convergence behaviour.

In Figure 5 we compare the MuSIK and Q-MuSIK results with regard to computational time. According to this figure Q-MuSIK reaches the same error level as MuSIK in a shorter time. This is because quasi interpolation does not require the solution of any large algebraic system as does an interpolatory scheme such as MuSIK.

5.2 3-*D* Example

The following example is in 3 dimensions evaluated at an equally spaced $50 \times 50 \times 50$ evaluation grid. We consider the interpolation problem of the another test function $G^{3d}(\mathbf{x}) : [0,1]^3 \to \mathbb{R}$, with

$$G^{3d}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{18}{\pi} e^{-(x_1^2 + 81x_2^2 + x_3^2)} \tag{10}$$

Experimental results in 3 dimension indicate that Q-MuSIK shows a good approximation behaviour as is the case with previous example. The corresponding results to those in 2 dimensions can be seen in Figure 6 and 7.



Fig. 6: RMS error versus N (Quasi-interpolation, Multilevel Quasi interpolation) or SG (Q-SIK, Q-MuSIK) nodes using Gaussian basis functions with standard deviation 0.4, Quasi-interpolation (black), Q-SIK (green), Multilevel Quasi-interpolation (blue) and Q-MuSIK (red) on a $50 \times 50 \times 50$ uniform grid in 3 dimension.

5.3 4-*D* Example

Let us now consider 4 dimension non-tensor product test functions $H^{4d}(\mathbf{x}) : [0,1]^4 \to \mathbb{R}$, with

$$H^{4d}(\mathbf{x}) = \sin(x_1^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2). \tag{11}$$

In Figure 8 the root mean-square error of Quasi Interpolation, ML Quasi Interpolation, Q-SIK and Q-MuSIK, respectively, for $H^{4d}(\mathbf{x})$, are plotted against the number of data sites. We see similar performance as in 2 and 3 dimensions.

5.4 Quadrature Example

In this section we give a number of examples for quadrature. We use two tensor product examples, respectively in 5 and 10 dimensions, and two non-tensor product examples. We consider the following non-tensor product example

$$M^{3d(\mathbf{x})} = \sin(x_1 x_2 x_3). \tag{12}$$

In Figure 9 we give the Quasi, Multilevel Quasi, Q-SIK and Q-MuSIK quadrature results for $M^{3d(\mathbf{x})}$ with regard to the corresponding exact values of the integral of $M^{3d(\mathbf{x})}$ on the domain $[0,1]^3$, which is (with 16 digits accuracy) 0.122434028745371.



Fig. 7: RMS error versus computational time using Gaussian basis functions with standard deviation 0.4, MuSIK (blue) and Q-MuSIK (red) on a $50 \times 50 \times 50$ uniform grid in 3 dimension.



Fig. 8: RMS error versus N(Quasi-interpolation, Multilevel Quasi-interpolation) or SG (Q-SIK, Q-MuSIK) using Gaussian basis functions with standard deviation 0.4: Quasi-interpolation (black), Q-SIK (green), Multilevel Quasi-interpolation (blue) and Q-MuSIK (red) on a $21 \times 21 \times 21 \times 21$ uniform grid.



Fig. 9: Absolute error versus N(Quasi-interpolation, Multilevel Quasi interpolation) or SG (Q-SIK, Q-MuSIK) nodes using Gaussian basis functions with standard deviation 0.4 on the domain $[0,1]^3$.

In Figure 10 we compare the MuSIK quadrature and Q-MuSIK quadrature in terms of absolute error versus degree of freedom and absolute error versus evaluation time. As discussed in the previous examples Q-MuSIK has better performance especially at the low level of computations. In these comparison we have used four, five and ten dimensional test functions which are given below:

$$\begin{aligned} F^{4d(\mathbf{x})} &= \frac{3}{4}e^{-(9x_1-2)^2-(9x_2-2)^2-(9x_3-2)^2/4-(9x_1-2)^2/8} \\ &+ \frac{3}{4}e^{-(9x_1+1)^2/49-(9x_2+1)^2/10-(9x_3+1)^2/29-(9x_1+1)^2/39} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}e^{-(9x_1-7)^2/4-(9x_2-3)^2-(9x_3-5)^2/2-(9x_1-5)^2/4} \\ &- \frac{1}{5}e^{-(9x_1-4)^2/4-(9x_2-7)^2-(9x_3-5)^2-(9x_1-5)^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$, T^{5d}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \max(x_i - 1/2)$$

$$, K^{10d}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{10} e^{-x_i(1-x_i)},$$

and the corresponding exact integral values of these functions on the domain $[0,1]^4$, $[0,1]^5$ and $[0,1]^{10}$ with 8 digits accuracy are 0.07766696, 0.001953125

and 0.19427907 respectively. These results confirms that the Q-MuSIK method provide us more rapid results for the same amount of error as the comparitor methods.

6 Concluding remarks

A new quasi multilevel sparse interpolation with Gaussian kernel for, particularly high-dimensional, both interpolation and numerical integration step is recommended and examined. One of the most significant positive aspects of quasi-interpolation is that it can yield a solution directly with no need to solve large algebraic systems. In addition, it has a number of desirable features, such as good approximation behaviour, easy evaluation and computation. The Q-MuSIK algorithm couples these good properties and the complexity of the sparse grid technique by using a multilevel algorithm. Q-MuSIK appears to be usually superior over classical the quasi interpolation technique in terms of run time and complexity especially in high dimension. In addition to this the Q-MuSIK algorithm computes approximations more quickly than the MuSIK method in problems with the same number of degrees of freedom because of the properties of quasi-interpolation listed above.

References

1. K. I. Babenko, *Approximation by trigonometric polynomials in a certain class of periodic functions of several variables*, Soviet Mathematics Doklady, 1 (1960), pp. 672–675.
2. R. Beatson and M. Powell, *Univariate multiquadric approximation: quasi-interpolation to scattered data*, Constructive Approximation, 8 (1992), p. 275288.
3. R. Belmann, *Adaptive Control process: a guide tour*, Princeton, 1961.
4. Z. Chen and F. Cao, *Global errors for approximate approximations with gaussian kernels on compact intervals*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, 217 (2010), p. 725734.
5. Z. Chen, F. Cao, and J. Hu, *Error estimates of quasi-interpolation and its derivatives*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 236 (2012), pp. 3137–3146.
6. P. Dong, E. H. Georgoulis, J. Levesley, and F. Usta, *On nodal exactness of sparse grid interpolation in the absence of nested subspaces and application to high dimensional quadrature.* preprint.
7. M. S. Floater and A. Iske, *Multistep scattered data interpolation using compactly supported radial basis functions*, Journel of Computational Applied Mathematics, 73(1-2) (1996), pp. 65–78.
8. E. H. Georgoulis, J. Levesley, and F. Subhan, *Multilevel sparse kernel-based interpolation*, SIAM Journal of Scientific Computing, 35 (2013), pp. 815–832.
9. M. Griebel, M. Schneider, and C. Zenger, *A combination technique for the solution of sparse grid problems, in Iterative Methods in Linear Algebra*, Elsevier, (1992), pp. 263–281.
10. S. J. Hales and J. Levesley, *Error estimates for multilevel approximation using polyharmonic splines*, Numerical Algorithms, 30(1) (2002), pp. 1–10.



(a) F^{4d} (b) F^{4d}

(c) T^{5d} (d) T^{5d}

(e) K^{10d} (f) K^{10d}

Fig. 10: Absolute error versus SG and CPU Time(Q-MuSIK quadrature, Q-MuSIK quadrature) nodes using Gaussian basis functions with $\rho = 0.4$ and $c = 0.45$: MuSIK quadrature (blue) and Q-MuSIK quadrature (red) on the domain $[0, 1]^{4,5,10}$.

11. E. J. Kansa, *Multiquadrics — a scattered data approximation scheme with applications to computational filuid-dynamics. ii. solutions to parabolic, hyperbolic and elliptic partial differential equations*, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, 19(8-9) (1990), pp. 147–161.
12. V. Mazya and G. Schmidt, *On approximate approximations using gaussian kernels*, IMA Jornal of Numerical Analaysis, 16 (1996), p. 1329.
13. ——, *On quasi-interpolation with non-uniformly distributed centers on domains and manifolds*, Jornal of Approximation Theory, 110 (2001), p. 125145.
14. F. Muller and W. Varnhorn, *Error estimates for approximate approximations with gaussian kernels on compact intervals*, Jornal of Approximation Theory, 145 (2007), p. 171181.
15. M. J. D. Powell, *The theory of radial basis function approximation in 1990*, no. II, Oxford University Press, New York, 1992.
16. S. A. Smolyak, *Quadrature and interpolation of formulas for tensor product of certian classes of functions*, Soviet Mathematics Doklady, 4 (1963), pp. 240–243.
17. Z. Wu and J. Liu, *Generalized strang-fix condition for scattered data quasi-interpolation*, Advances in Computational Mathematics, 23 (2005), pp. 201–214.
18. Z. Wu and R. Schaback, *Shape preserving properties and convergence of univariate multiquadric quasi- interpolation*, Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, 10(1) (1994), pp. 441–446.
19. C. Zenger, *Sparse grids, in Parallel Algorithms for Partial Differential Equations (Kiel, 1990)*, Notes on Numerical Fluid Mechanics, 31 (1991), pp. 241–251.